

DCP 392 Working Group Meeting 14

01 August 2022 at 14:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Generation
Charles Deacon [CD]	SSEN
Peter Turner [PT]	NPG
Simon Vicary [SV]	EDF
Gus Wood [GW] (Part)	Gowlings
Vanessa Buxton [VB]	WPD
Code Administrator	
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Technical Secretariat	ElectraLink
Andy Gren [AG] (Chair/Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Hannah Proffitt [HP]	ElectraLink
John Lawton [JL]	ElectraLink

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.2 The Chair presented the minutes of the previous meeting to the Working Group and advised that no comments had been received ahead of the meeting. ED noted that there was an action missing within the minutes for the last Working Group, specifically around the secretariat linking in with the Chair of DC 407 to see where that change was in relation to defining ‘Speculative Development’. The secretariat updated the action log to reflect this.
- 1.3 The minutes from the previous meeting can be found as **Attachment 1**.

- 1.4 The Working Group noted the items on the actions list from the last meeting. Updates on all actions are provided in **Appendix A**.
- 1.5 The Working Group agreed as some of the respondents to the request for information that was issued after the last Working Group have requested more time and as those responses hadn't yet been received, it would be best to wait for the next Working Group to review the RFI responses in full rather than half the responses now and the other half in a few weeks' time. The Working Group decided to move on to reviewing the draft legal text and comments with GW.

2. Review of Legal Text

- 2.1 GW started walking the Working Group through the comments that he and JL had made on the legal text.
- 2.2 The Working Group agreed that they would expect DNO/IDNO Party's to publish their charging methodology for works triggered by Transmission Users that have an impact on the Distribution System on the DNO/IDNO Party's website.
- 2.3 It was also noted by GW that where TRDR is referenced in the text it is always followed by reinforcement. He suggested that as the final R in TRDR stood for reinforcement it would make sense to delete the final R so it would read TRD reinforcement. The Working Group agreed with this suggestion.
- 2.4 It was also queried if reference in the CCCM to "Minimum Scheme" had not been included in the text purposely. The Working Group was unsure if it was not included purposely so GW took an action to check in with JL to confirm if it had been omitted for a reason or if paragraphs 1.11-1.17 of the CCCM needed to be include.
- 2.5 There were some other minor cosmetic changes to the legal text that GW agreed to take away and redraft in time for the next Working Group. As the main talking points of the draft legal text had been discussed GW left the call and the Working Group moved on to review the draft change report.

3. Review of Change report

- 3.1 The Working Group began to review the Change Report. It was noted that the timeline in the change report was aspirational and would potentially change due to the change of Chair.
- 3.2 There were some minor cosmetic changes to update the abbreviations to the full wording.
- 3.3 The Work Group reviewed the paragraphs in 1.7 and 1.8 to see if they reflected the legal steer given by GW. The Working Group confirmed that no changes to 1.7 were required however, as 1.8 mentioned the ECCR, and as the ECCR was due to be updated at the end of 2022, it was debated as to whether this paragraph needed to call out that the ECCR was due to change. As the scope of these changes are unknown at this time the Working Group couldn't reach a decision on how these pending updates should be called out. The Secretariat took an action to speak with JL to see if they would be able to draft some suitable wording to call this out.

- 3.4 It was also noted that IDNO's should also be included in the impacted party's section, so this was updated.
- 3.5 It was suggested that as the change wouldn't impact the charging objectives, the charging objectives table in section 5 could be removed/deleted.
- 3.6 The Working Group also agreed to change where the report mentioned "high-cost cap" to "high-cost project threshold".
- 3.7 ED also noted that she had seen some misalignment between the change report and the legal text so agreed to send over these misalignments to the Working Group for review.

4. Next Steps & Work Plan

- 4.1 The Secretariat went over the actions that had been agreed and noted that the change report would be updated before the next Working Group session for final review.
- 4.2 The secretariat also advised the Working Group that the updated legal text would also be shared prior to the next Working Group.
- 4.3 The Working Group were also informed that all request for information responses would have been received before the next Working Group session ready for review.
- 4.4 AG to speak with JL to update on progress and handover actions and outcomes from today's Working Group session.

5. Any Other Business

- 5.1 The Chair asked the Working Group whether there were any other items of business to discuss, to which nothing was raised.

6. Date of Next Meeting

- 6.1 As the regular Chair and technical secretariat were unable to join this Work Group, and as industry participation was also low, the stand in Chair would speak with JL and HP to update them on progress and for them to arrange the time and date for the next Working Group.

Attachments

- Attachment 1 - DCP 392 Working Group Meeting 13 - Final Minutes v1.0

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
13/02	The Secretariat to contact Thomas Cadge and discuss his comments around required capacity. These comments to be share with Gus Wood at Gowlings.	Secretariat	New action.
14/01	Secretariat to review and update actions from last Working Group session as per ED's comments	Secretariat	New action.
14/02	AG and DT to speak with JL re the comments around adding in text to refer to the pending updates to the ECCR	Secretariat	New action.
14/03	GW to update legal text based on Working Groups feedback	Secretariat	New action.
14/04	AG and DT to update JL and HP with outcome of Working Groups discussion and changes to legal text and change report	Secretariat	New action.
14/05	Secretariat to send out Doodle poll to agree on time and date for the next Working Group session.	Secretariat	New action.
14/06	Secretariat to liaise with the Working Group for DCP 407 and share with this Working Group the speculative development definition.	Secretariat	New action.

Closed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
13/01	Secretariat to Issue RFI to DNO's asking how expenditure be treated as part of the Load Related Expenditure and Reconciliation Process	Secretariat	Action Closed. RFI was issued by secretariat.

